

FIGURE 3–24. AEROSOL DELIVERY DEVICES

Device/Drugs	Population	Optimal Technique*	Therapeutic Issues
Metered-dose inhaler (MDI) Beta ₂ -agonists Corticosteroids Cromolyn sodium Anticholinergics	≥5 years old (<5 with spacer or valved holding chamber (VHC) mask)	Actuation during a slow (30 L/min or 3–5 seconds) deep inhalation, followed by 10-second breathhold. Under laboratory conditions, open-mouth technique (holding MDI 2 inches away from open mouth) enhances delivery to the lung. This technique, however, has not been shown to enhance clinical benefit consistently compared to closed-mouth technique (inserting MDI mouthpiece between lips and teeth).	Slow inhalation and coordination of actuation during inhalation may be difficult, particularly in young children and elderly. Patients may incorrectly stop inhalation at actuation. Deposition of 50–80 percent of actuated dose in oropharynx. Mouth washing and spitting is effective in reducing the amount of drug swallowed and absorbed systemically (Selroos and Halme 1991). Lung delivery under ideal conditions varies significantly between MDIs due to differences in formulation (suspension versus solution), propellant (chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) versus hydrofluoralkane (HFA)), and valve design (Dolovich 2000). For example, inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) delivery varies from 5–50 percent (Kelly 2003).
Breath-actuated MDI Beta ₂ -agonist	≥5 years old	Tight seal around mouthpiece and slightly more rapid inhalation than standard MDI (see above) followed by 10-second breathhold.	May be particularly useful for patients unable to coordinate inhalation and actuation. May also be useful for elderly patients (Newman et al. 1991). Patients may incorrectly stop inhalation at actuation. Cannot be used with currently available spacer/valved-holding chamber (VHC) devices.
Dry powder inhaler (DPI) Beta ₂ -agonists Corticosteroids Anticholinergics	≥4 years old	Rapid (60 L/min or 1–2 seconds), deep inhalation. Minimally effective inspiratory flow is device dependent. Most children <4 years of age may not generate sufficient inspiratory flow to activate the inhaler.	Dose is lost if patient exhales through device after actuating. Delivery may be greater or lesser than MDI, depending on device and technique. Delivery is more flow dependent in devices with highest internal resistance. Rapid inhalation promotes greater deposition in larger central airways (Dolovich 2000). Mouth washing and spitting is effective in reducing amount of drug swallowed and absorbed (Selroos and Halme 1991).

FIGURE 3–24. AEROSOL DELIVERY DEVICES (CONTINUED)

Device/Drugs	Population	Optimal Technique*	Therapeutic Issues
Spacer or valved holding chamber (VHC)	<p>≥4 years old</p> <p><4 years old VHC with face mask</p>	<p>Slow (30 L/min or 3–5 seconds) deep inhalation, followed by 10-second breathhold immediately following actuation.</p> <p>Actuate only once into spacer/VHC per inhalation (O'Callaghan et al. 1994).</p> <p>If face mask is used, it should have a tight fit and allow 3–5 inhalations per actuation (Amirav and Newhouse 2001; Everard et al. 1992).</p> <p>Rinse plastic VHCs once a month with low concentration of liquid household dishwashing detergent (1:5,000 or 1–2 drops per cup of water) and let drip dry (Pierart et al. 1999; Wildhaber et al. 2000).</p>	<p>Indicated for patients who have difficulty performing adequate MDI technique.</p> <p>May be bulky. Simple tubes do not obviate coordinating actuation and inhalation. The VHCs are preferred.</p> <p>Face mask allows MDIs to be used with small children. However, use of a face mask reduces delivery to lungs by 50 percent (Wildhaber et al. 1999). The VHC improves lung delivery and response in patients who have poor MDI technique.</p> <p>The effect of a spacer or VHC on output from an MDI depends on both the MDI and device type; thus data from one combination should not be extrapolated to all others (Ahrens et al. 1995; Dolovich 2000). Spacers and/or VHCs decrease oropharyngeal deposition and thus decrease risk of topical side effects (e.g., thrush) (Salzman and Pyszczyński 1988; Toogood et al. 1984).</p> <p>Spacers will also reduce the potential systemic availability of ICSs with higher oral absorption (Brown et al. 1990; Selroos and Halme 1991). However, spacer/VHCs may increase systemic availability of ICSs that are poorly absorbed orally by enhancing delivery to lungs (Dempsey et al. 1999; Kelly 2003).</p> <p>No clinical data are available on use of spacers or VHCs with ultrafine-particle-generated HFA MDIs.</p> <p>Use antistatic VHCs or rinse plastic nonantistatic VHCs with dilute household detergents to enhance delivery to lungs and efficacy (Lipworth et al. 2002; Pierart et al. 1999; Wildhaber et al. 2000). This effect is less pronounced for albuterol MDIs with HFA propellant than for albuterol MDIs with CFC propellant (Chuffart et al. 2001).</p> <p>As effective as nebulizer for delivering SABAs and anticholinergics in mild to moderate exacerbations; data in severe exacerbations are limited.</p>

FIGURE 3–24. AEROSOL DELIVERY DEVICES (CONTINUED)

Device/Drugs	Population	Optimal Technique*	Therapeutic Issues
Nebulizer Beta ₂ -agonists Corticosteroids Cromolyn sodium Anticholinergics	Patients of any age who cannot use MDI with VHC and face mask.	<p>Slow tidal breathing with occasional deep breaths. Tightly fitting face mask for those unable to use mouthpiece.</p> <p>Using the “blow by” technique (i.e., holding the mask or open tube near the infant’s nose and mouth) is not appropriate.</p>	<p>Less dependent on patient’s coordination and cooperation.</p> <p>Delivery method of choice for cromolyn sodium in young children.</p> <p>May be expensive; time consuming; bulky; output is dependent on device and operating parameters (fill volume, driving gas flow); internebulizer and intranebulizer output variances are significant (Dolovich 2000). Use of a face mask reduces delivery to lungs by 50 percent (Wildhaber et al. 1999). Nebulizers are as effective as MDIs plus VHCs for delivering bronchodilators in the ED for mild to moderate exacerbations; data in severe exacerbations are limited. Choice of delivery system is dependent on resources, availability, and clinical judgment of the clinician caring for the patient (Cates et al. 2002; Dolovich et al. 2005).</p> <p>Potential for bacterial infections if not cleaned properly.</p>

Key: ED, emergency department; SABAs, inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonists
 *See figures in “Component 2: Education for a Partnership in Asthma Care” for description of MDI and DPI techniques.